# Machine Learning and Finance: Through the Ages

Tim Savage Senior Managing Economist Principal Data Scientist CBRE

# Usual Disclaimer

These are my opinions, and not those of CBRE. Or anyone else.

# Some Motivating Examples

### Prediction

- Based a training set of labeled objects, what is this unlabeled object?
- Ideally using validation and tuning.
- Always probabilistic: the unlabeled is a zero with probability 98.2%.

## Evaluation

- What is the effect of this drug?
- Randomize the unrandomized over a treatment.
- Double-blind experimentation: observation does not affect outcome.
- Ideally probabilistic (but almost always is not).

## Similarity (Absent a Biological Model)

• Given some massive genome data, are there "disease" clusters?



• Given my risk appetite and cash constraints, can I make money?

# Two Images: You Tell Me

### Image One



#### Image Two



#### Image One: A One-Dimensional Random Walk

```
random.seed(1234)
pos = 100
walk = [pos] # walk is the array that tracks the random path
nsteps = 10000 # establishs number of random steps
for i in range(nsteps):
    step = 1 if np.random.randint(0, 2) else -1 # Bernoulli draw to step "up" or "down"
    pos += step
    walk.append(pos)
```

### Image Two: AAPL (2012)

plt.ylabel('\$', fontsize=16)

```
start, end = "2012-01-01", "2013-01-01"
aapl = web.get_data_yahoo('aapl', start=start, end=end)['Adj Close']
aapl.plot(color = 'darkblue')
plt.title('Apple Share Price (2012)', fontsize=20)
```

## Some Background on Modern Finance

- Bachelier (1900) proposes that stock prices are a random walk.
  - Not quite because prices cannot be negative.
  - But changes in prices can be positive or negative.
- As equity markets developed, individual stocks traded on exchanges.
  - Think NASDAQ and tech stocks.
- Emergence of portfolios that include equities, bonds, and cash.
- Diversification is key.
  - Reduce risk for a given level of positive return (positive price changes).

## Interesting Use Case for Machine Learning

- But data science requires, among other things, ...
  - Real-world data.
- Data on prices are among the oldest data series that exist.
- Originally, very low frequency.
- Now tick data are generated on the range of a microsecond.
  - Faster than you can click "Like".

# Wave One: Theory and Testing









## Arbitrage Portfolio Theory (APT)

- Costlessly adjust components of portfolios, relative to a benchmark.
- If true, linear regression may be an ideal representation.
- Slope captures non-diversifiable risk, given the benchmark.
  - Greater or less than one?
- Bias term captures "talent" of active management, given nondiversifiable risk.
  - Greater or less than zero?

#### Dep. Variable: aapl R-squared: 0.433 Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.433 Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 2114. Wed, 12 Apr 2017 Prob (F-statistic): 0.00 Date: Time: 20:05:56 Log-Likelihood: 7541.1 No. Observations: 2768 -1.508e+04AIC: Df Residuals: 2766 BIC: -1.507e+04Df Model: 1 Covariance Type: nonrobust std err P> t [95.0% Conf. Int.] t coef 0.000 1.898 0.058 -1.89e-05 0.0006 0.001 Intercept 0.022 0.000 0.975 nasdaq 1.0184 45.975 1.062 Omnibus: 463.646 Durbin-Watson: 1,933 0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB): Prob(Omnibus): 8105.015 Skew: 0.209 Prob(JB): 0.00 Kurtosis: 11.373 Cond. No. 73.4

#### OLS Regression Results

Warnings:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

# Wave Two: Prediction

Surely Deep Learning Will Improve on Regression

## Give the Machines a Break!

Table 1: CAPM with AAPL Daily Returns			
Model	Run Time <sup>1</sup>	Average MSE <sup>2</sup>	Smallest MSE <sup>2</sup>
OLS	0.009	0.264	0.209
MLP	8.557	0.274	0.217
LSTM <sup>3</sup>	12.170	0.436	0.355
LSTM <sup>4</sup>	19.064	0.384	0.304

- 1: Average seconds per replication
- 2: 10<sup>-3</sup>
- 3: 30-day window
- 4: 90-day window

Source: Savage and Vo, 2017

# Try Something Different: Deploy Bayes

Within This Framework, Examine Something Meaningful

#### Some Code

```
with pm.Model() as model:
    # alpha, beta, and sigma are the hyperparameters over which we have our priors, in this case t
    hey are flat priors.
    alpha = pm.Normal('alpha', mu=0, sd=20)
    beta = pm.Normal('beta', mu=0, sd=20)
    sigma = pm.Uniform('sigma', lower=0, upper=10)
```

# y\_est is the specification of the Bayesian model to be estimated. It is simply our CAPM.
y\_est = alpha + beta \* nasdaq\_returns

# likelihood is the likelihood function, here it is normal to be used with conjugate priors. likelihood = pm.Normal('y', mu=y\_est, sd=sigma, observed=aapl\_returns)

```
# We use the Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) values as starting values for the MCMC sampling.
start = pm.find_MAP()
step = pm.NUTS(state=start)
trace = pm.sample(1000, step, start=start, progressbar=True)
```

#### Some Results

# Show results after burn in of 200 MCMC replications.

```
fig = pm.traceplot(trace[199:1000], lines={'alpha': 0, 'beta': 1})
plt.figure(figsize = (10, 10))
```

```
<matplotlib.figure.Figure at 0x115bfbf60>
```



## Evaluate Something Meaningful

- What is joint probability that alpha (bias term) is > 0 while beta (slope term) is <= 1?
- In this use case, Bayesian approach allows us to evaluate this directly.
  - Pr(alpha) > 0: 97.2%
  - Pr(beta) <= 1: 19.5%
  - Joint probability: 18.9%
- Implication?

